UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,332	11/04/2003	Ghasi R. Agrawal	03-1343	5874
24319 LSI CORPORA	7590 05/19/200 ATION	EXAMINER		
1621 BARBER	_	NGUYEN, STEVE N		
MS: D-106 MILPITAS, CA	x 95035		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2117	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/701,332	AGRAWAL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
STEVE NGUYEN	2117		

		STEVE NGUYEN	2117	
The M	AILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILE	D <u>06 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
application, application i	as filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on applicant must timely file one of the following in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe d Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
b) The perio	od for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A however, will the statutory period for reply expire to reply expires to reply expir	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time n have been filed is th under 37 CFR 1.17(set forth in (b) above	S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(that yet a be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the date for purposes of determining the period of extention is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount on the chartened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing the No	of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp tice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter peal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
(a)⊠ They I (b) They I (c) They a appea (d) They I	ed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, because new issues that would require further contains the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloware not deemed to place the application in betal; and/or present additional claims without canceling a contain that is the continuation of the continuation of the continuation of the contains without canceling a continuation of the continuation	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); w); ter form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje	TE below);	
 4. The amend 5. Applicant's 6. Newly proponentallowab 7. For purpose how the new The status of Claim(s) allo Claim(s) obj Claim(s) rejete 	ments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 reply has overcome the following rejection(s): osed or amended claim(s) would be all le claim(s). so of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) or amended claims would be rejected is proving the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: owed:	21. See attached Notice of Non-Col owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
AFFIDAVIT OR O 8. ☐ The affidavit because app	THER EVIDENCE or other evidence filed after a final action, but blicant failed to provide a showing of good and ier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entered bec showing a g 10. ☐ The affidav	or other evidence filed after the date of filing ause the affidavit or other evidence failed to o good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary it or other evidence is entered. An explanation	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
11. X The reques Amended of the claim now	RECONSIDERATION/OTHER st for reconsideration has been considered but claim 1 includes amended language not previo recites, "without replacing failed memory when tached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (ously considered in a prior Office A rein the additional redundant memo	ction. For example, th	
/JACQUES H I	— LOUIS-JACQUES/ tent Examiner, Art Unit 2117			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claim 1 includes amended language not previously considered in a prior Office Action. For example, the amended claim now recites, "without replacing failed memory wherein the additional redundant memory is not required".